# ENGINEERING PRACTICE

#### **VOLUME 4 NUMBER 13**

#### **JULY 2018**



#### ENGINEERING PRACTICE

VOLUME 4 NUMBER 12 JANUARY 2018

> **Editor** Karl Kolmetz

Asian Assistant Editor Rita Targanski

American Assistant Editor Shauna Tysor

#### WWW.IACPE.COM

#### **INFO@IACPE.COM**

KNOWLEDGE. CERTIFICATION. NETWORKING.





#### ABOUT

International Association of Certified Practicing Engineers provides a standard of professional competence and ethics. Identifies and recognizes those individuals that have meet the standard. And requires our members to participate in continuing education programs for personal and professional development.

In additional to insuring a professional level of competency and ethics the IACPE focuses on three major areas of development for our members: Personal, Professional, and Networking.

#### HISTORY

The International Association of Certified Practicing Engineers concept was formulated by the many young professionals and students we meet during our careers working in the field, running training courses, and lecturing at universities.

During question and answer sessions we found the single most common question was: What else can I do to further my career?

We found, depending on the persons avail able time and finances, and very often dependent on the country in which the person was from, the options to further ones career were not equal.

Many times we found the options available to our students in developing countries were too costly and or provided too little of value in an expanding global business environment.

The reality is that most of our founders come from countries that require rigorous academic standards at four year universities in order to achieve an engineering degree. Then, after obtaining this degree, they complete even stricter government and state examinations to obtain their professional licenses in order to join professional organizations. They have been afforded the opportunity to continue their personal and professional development with many affordable schools, programs, and professional organizations. The IACPE did not see those same opportunities for everyone in every country.

So we set out to design and build an association dedicated to supporting those engineers in developing in emerging economies.

The IACPE took input from industry leaders, academic professors, and students from Indonesia, Malaysia, and the Philippines. The goal was to build an organization that would validate a candidates engineering fundamentals, prove their individuals skills, and enhance their networking ability. We wanted to do this in a way that was cost effective, time conscience, and utilized the latest technologies.

#### MISSION

Based on engineering first principles and practical real world applications our curriculum has been vetted by academic and industry professionals. Through rigorous study and examination, candidates are able to prove their knowledge and experience. This body of certified professionals engineers will become a network of industry professionals leading continuous improvement and education with improved ethics.

#### VISION

To become a globally recognized association for certification of professional engineers.

#### LETTER FROM THE PRESIDENT

#### KARL KOLMETZ

#### **Distinguished Practicing Engineer Nominations**



Dear Friends,

I hope you are doing great. This month we are pleased to nominate the International Association of Certified Practicing Engineers 2018 Distinguished Practicing Engineers. We have a great group of people that have assisted and mentored their friends and colleagues.

Annually IACPE will recognize the outstanding accomplishments of engineering education and engineering technology through the "Distinguished Practicing Engineer" awards program. By their commitment to their profession, desire to further the association's mission, and participation in civic and community affairs, IACPE award winners exemplify the best in engineering education and engineering technology.

This award salutes leaders in engineering for their dedication to their field and their commitment to advancing the human condition through great engineering achievement and/or through innovation in engineering education and technology. There is an Academic Division, Technology Division, and Young Engineer Divisions. In the July *Engineering Practice Magazine*, we will nominate for each division and in the October issue we will recognize the 2018 group of awardees.

Look to the IACPE website (www.IACPE.com) for new training videos for the CPE levels and continuing education certification.

All the best in your Career and Life, Karl Kolmetz **BECOME A CERTIFIED ENGINEER** 

# INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CERTIFIED PRACTICING ENGINEERS www.iacpe.com

IACPE supports engineers developing across emerging economies focusing on graduates connecting with industrial experts who can help further careers, attaining abilities recognized across the industry, and aligning knowledge to industry competency standards.

IACPE offers certification in the following engineering fields: Mechanical, Metallurgy, Chemical, Electrical, Civil, Industrial, Environmental, Mining, Architectural, Bio, Information, Machine and Transportation.

#### WWW.IACPE.COM

#### **IACPE - PARTNERS**



# 

## GHS REQUIREMENTS ARE CONFUSING

#### BUT THEY DON'T NEED TO BE

OUR SYSTEM INCLUDES:

- Simple SDS to GHS transfer
- HAZCOM training video
- HAZCOM written program

WE MAKE COMPLIANCE EASY

FOR MORE INFO VISIT **Stop-Painting.com** OR CALL: (919) 569-6765



Floor Marking Tape



Floor Signs





X, L, T Markers

# **Distinguished Practicing Engineer**

Each year IACPE recognizes the outstanding accom- TECHNOLOGY DIVISION plishments of engineering education and engineering Separations technology through the "Distinguished Practicing I. Daniel Summers Engineer" awards program. By their commitment to 2. Peter Faessler their profession, desire to further the Association's 3. Darius Remesat Mission, and participation in civic and community 4. Simon Xu affairs, IACPE award winners exemplify the best in engineering education and engineering technology.

This award salutes leaders in engineering for their 2. Alex Michinel dedication to their field and their commitment to 3. Chuck Nagy advancing the human condition through great engi- 4. Barbara Stancato neering achievement and/or through innovation in engineering education and technology. There are Petrochemicals three divisions: Academic Division, Technology Di- I. Tim Zygula, CPE vision, Young Engineer and Student Divisions.

In this issue IACPE is proud to announce the top Refining candidates from each division and in the October 1. Rick Cary issue the 2018 group of awardees will be announced.

#### ACADEMIC DIVISION

- Chemical Engineering
- I. Dr. Sivakumar Kumaresan, CPE
- 2. Rita Dwi Ratnani, ST., M.Eng
- 3. Yuli Amalia Husnil, ST., MT., PhD
- 4. Dr. Zulkafli Hassan
- 5. Anton Irawan, PhD
- 6. Yuli Amalia Husnil, ST, ME, PhD

Electrical Engineering

I. Dr.Achmad Daengs GS., SE., MM., CPPM., CPE

2. Ir.M.Asgar W, MT

3. Ir.Achmad Junaedi, MT.,M.Sc

Industrial Engineering

I. Dr. Dwi Yuli Rakhmawati, ST., Ph.D., CPE 2. Rini Oktavera S.T, M.MT

- Mechanical Engineering
- I. Dr. Prantasi Harmi Tjahjanti, S.Si., MT
- 2. Ir. Masriel Djamaloes MBA., MM., CPE
- 3. Dr. Al Ali Alhamidi, MT

Environmental/Green Engineering I. Dr. Ir. H. Sajiyo, M.Kes Ass.L. IPM

Civil Engineering I. Yosef Cahyo Setianto Poernomo, ST., MT., M.Eng

#### Ethylene Technology

- I. Greg Dunnells

- 2. Dr. Joseph Lim

- Mechanical Engineering
- I. Mel Chua
- 2. Hermis Kornelius

#### Chemical Engineering

- I. Roger Efferson
- 2. Wiroon Tanthapanichakoon
- 3. Parthiban Siwayanan

#### **Process Safety**

- I. Mel Coker
- 2. Derrick Gradney
- 3. Chris Palmisano

Information Technology I. Bret Moore

Project Management I. Dr. Marcio Wagner da Silva, MBA 2. IT Goh 3. Khaled Mughrabi 4. Stewart Miller

Materials Movement I. Vijay Sarathy

**Process Simulation** I. Andrew Nathan 2. Khalis Abbas

Commissioning I. Jeff N Gray

#### **OVER 200+ SUCCESSFUL OFFSHORE PROJECTS**



#### **APPS FOR PROCESS SIMULATION**

NCREASE CAPACITY | IMPROVE MARGINS | REDUCE COSTS | BECOME MORE ENERGY EFFICIENT | ACHIEVE OPERATIONAL GOALS

Providing independent and trusted third-party specialist consultancy and software services to the upstream oil & gas industry.



+47 67 56 99 90 info@bpt.no

Løkketangen 20, 1337 Sandvika, Oslo, Norway

# How to Extract Profitability from Oil Residue Streams: Solvent Deasphalting Technologies

#### Introduction

In the last decades, restrictive environmental regulations allies with the technological development of processes and equipment which require petroleum derivates more environmentally friendly and with better performance reduced drastically the consumer market for residue streams. Currently, even bunker oils suffer severe contaminants restriction, mainly related to sulfur content.

In this scenario, process units called bottom barrel, able to improve the quality of crude oil residue streams (Vacuum residue, Gas oils, etc.) or convert them to higher added value products gain strategic importance, mainly in countries that have large heavy crude oil reserves. These process units are fundamental for to comply the environmental and quality regulations, as well as to ensure profitability and competitivity of refiners through raising refining margin.

Available technologies to processing bottom barrel streams involve processes that aim to raise the H/C relation in the molecule, either through reducing the carbon quantity (processes based on carbon rejection) or through hydrogen addition. Technologies that involves hydrogen addition encompass hydrotreating and hydrocracking processes while technologies based on carbon rejection refers to thermal cracking processes like Visbreaking, Delayed Coking and Fluid Coking, catalytic cracking processes like Fluid Catalytic Cracking (FCC) and physical separation processes like Solvent Deasphalting units.

#### **Process Arrangement**

The typical feedstock for deasphalting units is the residue from vacuum distillation that contains the heavier fractions of the crude oil. The residue stability depends on of equilibrium among resins and asphaltenes, once which they resins solubilize the asphaltenes, keeping a dispersed phase.

The deasphalting process is based on liquid-liquid extraction operation where is applied light paraffin (propane, butane, pentane, etc.) to promotes resins solubilization inducing the asphaltenes precipitation, that correspond to the heavier fraction of the vacuum residue and concentrate the major part of the contaminants and heteroatoms (nitrogen, sulfur, metals, etc.). The process produces a heavy stream with low contaminants content called deasphalted oil (Extract phase) and a stream poor in solvent containing the heavier compounds and with high contaminants content, mainly sulfur, nitrogen and metals called asphaltic residue (Raffinate phase).

Figure I shows a basic process flow diagram for a typical process deasphalting unit.

The vacuum residue is fed to the extracting tower where occurs the contact with the solvent leading



Figure 1 – Typical Arrangement for a Solvent Deasphalting Process Unit

to the saturated compounds solubilization, in the sequence, the mixture solvent/vacuum residue is sent to separation vessels where occurs the separation of asphaltic residue from deasphalted oil, as well as the solvent recovery.

The choice of solvent employed have fundamental importance to the deasphalting process, solvents that have higher molar mass (higher carbon chain) presents higher solvency power and raise the yield of deasphalted oil, however, these solvents are less selective and the quality of the deasphalted oil is reduced once heavier resins are solubilized which leads to higher quantity of residual carbon in the

#### MASTER OF SCIENCE IN PROCESS PLANT OPERATION ( (MQA / PA 9578)

A Hands-On Master Programme





#### **KEY HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS MASTER PROGRAMME**

- ONE year programme with three semesters (minimum)
- A "bridge to PhD" programme
- Courses are designed and delivered by senior academicians and industrial experts
- Flexible and various delivery modes including on-site activities, industrial case studies, industrial-based problem-based learning and etc.
- Weekends or evening classes are available for busy professionals at UMP Gambang Campus, INSTEP, and other potential locations\*.
- 10-days hands-on experience in an upstream/downstream plant environment at INSTEP Upstream Downstream Training Plant

\*Gebeng industrial area (Pahang), Kerteh industrial area (Terengganu) or RAPID PETRONAS Pengerang (Johor) depending on the demand.

#### CONTACT US Head of Master Program Faculty of Chemical and Natural Resources Engineering Universiti Malaysia Pahang Lebuhraya Tun Razak 26300 Gambang, Kuantan Pahang Darul Makmur

Tel: +09 549 2888 / 2873 Fax: +609 549 2889 Email: fkksa@ump.edu.my

#### WEBSITE

Application: http://ips.ump.edu.my/index.php/en/ Information of the course: http://fkksa.ump.edu.my/index.php/en/ deasphalted oil, consequently the contaminants content raises too. As normally the deasphalting unit aim to minimize the carbon residue, metals and heteroatoms in the deasphalted oil, propane are the usual solvent applied, mainly when the deasphalting process role in the refining scheme is to prepare feed streams for catalytic conversion processes.

The main operational variables of the deasphalting process are feedstock quality, solvent composition, the relation solvent/feedstream, extraction temperature and temperature gradient in the extraction tower. Despite be a very important variable the extraction pressure is defined in the unit design step and is normally defined as the need pressure to keep the solvent in the liquid phase, in the propane case the pressure in the extraction tower is close to 40 bar.

Feedstock quality depends on crude oil characteristics processed by the refinery, as well as vacuum distillation process. Depending on the fractionating produced in the vacuum distillation unit the vacuum residue can be heavier or lighter, affecting directly the deasphalting unit yield. Using propane as solventthe relation solvent/feedstream is close to 8 and the feed temperature in the extraction tower is close to 70 oC.

In refineries focused in fuelsproduction (mainly LPG and gasoline), the deasphalted oil stream is normally sent to the Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU), in this case, the contaminants content and carbon residue needs to be severely controlled to avoid premature deactivation of the catalyst which is very sensitive to metals and nitrogen. In refineries dedicated to producing middle distillates, the deasphalted oil can be directed to hydrocracking units.

When the deasphalting process is installed in refining units dedicated to producing lubricants, the quality of deasphalted oil tends to be superior in view that the crude oil processed is normally lighter and with lower contaminants content. In this case, the deasphalted oil is directed to aromatic extraction unit or to hydrotreatment/ hydrocracking units, in the last case, the deasphalted oil quality is more critical because of the possibility of premature catalyst deactivation.

The asphaltic residue stream is sent to the fuel oil pool after dilution with lighter compounds (gas oils) orthe stream can be used to produce asphalt. Another possibility is sent the asphaltic residue to a Delayed Coking Unit. As the aromatics content in the asphaltic residue is high, the coke produced presents a very good quality.

#### **Available Technologies**

The principal step in the solvent deasphalting process is the liquid-liquid extraction which depends on strongly of the solvent properties, in this sense, some licensors developed deasphalting processes based on the solvent in supercritical conditions. Above of critical point, the solvent properties are more favorable to the extraction process, mainly solvency power and the vaporization and compression facility, which reduce the power consumer in the process.

The processes ROSE<sup>™</sup> licensed by KBR Company, UOP-DEMEX<sup>™</sup> licensed by UOP and the process SOLVAHL<sup>™</sup> licensed by AXENS are examples of deasphalting technologies in supercritical conditions. Figure 2 presents a basic process scheme for a typical deasphalting unit under supercritical conditions.



Figure 2 – Typical arrangement to solvent deasphalting unit under supercritical condition

In addition to the cited processes, the FOSTER WHEELER Company in partnership with UOP developed the process UOP/FW-SDA<sup>TM</sup> which applies solvent in supercritical condition too.

#### Conclusion

Like described earlier, the deasphalting process allows add value to residual streams as vacuum residue and, consequently, raise the refiners profitability furthermore the process can help in the production of higher quality and cleaner derivates.

As another residue upgrading technologies, the deasphalting process raises the refinery flexibility regarding the quality of crude oil processed, that can pass to process heavier crude oils that have normally lower cost, and this fact can improve the refining margin.

Currently, the deasphalting technology has lost ground in the more modern refining schemes to Delayed Coking units since these units can process residual streams producing streams that can be converted into products with high added value (LPG, Gasoline, and Diesel), without the need of previous feed stream treatment to removal contaminants. However, the products from delayed coking units need hydrotreatment to be commercialized which raises significantly the operational and installation costs to the refinery.In some refining schemes, the deasphalting and delayed coking units can be complementary technologies, like aforementioned.

The choice of residue upgrading technology by the refiners normally involves an economic analysis which takes into account the refinery production focus (middle distillates, light products or lubricants), the market that will be served and the synergy among the processes that will be applied in the adopted refining scheme.

#### References

ROBINSON, P.R.; HSU, C.S. Handbook of Petroleum Technology. 1<sup>a</sup> ed. Springer, 2017.

GARY, J. H.; HANDWERK, G. E. Petroleum Refining – Technology and Economics.4<sup>th</sup>ed. Marcel Dekker., 2001.

MYERS, R.A. Handbook of Petroleum Refining Processes. 3<sup>a</sup> ed. McGraw-Hill, 2004.



Dr. Marcio Wagner da Silva is Process Engineer and Project Manager focusing on Crude Oil Refining Industry based in São José dos Campos, Brazil. Bachelor in Chemical Engineering from University of Maringa (UEM), Brazil and PhD. in Chemical Engineering from University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Brazil. Has extensive experience in research, design and construction to oil and gas industry including developing and coordinating projects to operational improvements and debottlenecking to bottom barrel units, moreover Dr. Marcio Wagner have MBA in Project Management from Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) and is certified in Business from Getulio Vargas Foundation (FGV).



- Processing plants
- Pressure vessels
- Heat exchangers
- Piping systems
- Onshore pipelines
- Offshore pipelines

#### PT. Dinamika Teknik Persada

is an Engineering Consultants focused on providing engineering and technical services to the oil and gas industry.

We develop innovative and cost effective solutions and helping our clients to achieve high performance from their assets by providing expertise, novel methods and appropriate tools

-FEED to Detailed engineering Design -Independent Design Verification -Risk Assessments -Asset Integrity Management -Risk Based Inspection



Address : Ruko Golden Boulevard Blok K No. 1-2 Jl. Pahlawan Seribu, BSD City, Serpong Tangerang 15322 – Indonesia Phone / Fax : +62 21 53150601 Email : info@dtp-eng.com



SPECIALIZED TECHNICAL ARTICLES AND BOOKS

DETAILED ENGINEERING DESIG GUIDELINES

> **PROJECT ENGINEERING** STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS

**TYPICAL PROCESS UNIT OPERATING MANUALS** 

**TRAINING VIDEOS** 

KLM Technology Group is a technical consultancy group, providing specialized services and training to improve process plant operational efficiency, profitability and safety. We provide engineering solutions by offering training, technical services, best hydrocarbon processing industry.

practices, and engineering designs to meet the specific needs of our partner clients. Since 1997, KLM Technology Group has been providing engineering, operations, and maintenance support for the

## WWW.KLMTECHGROUP.COM

Engineering Solutions, Standards, and Software



# **Understanding High Integrity Pressure Protection Systems**

Jayanthi Vijay Sarathy, M.E, CEng,

#### Abstract

No chemical process facility is immune to the risk of overpressure to avoid dictating the necessity for overpressure protection. For every situation that demands safe containment of process gas, it becomes an obligation for engineers to equally provide pressure relieving and flaring provisions wherever necessary. The levels of protection are hierarchical, starting with designing an inherently safe process to avoid overpressure followed by providing alarms for operators to intervene and Emergency Shutdown provisions through ESD and SIL rated instrumentation. Beyond these design and instrument based protection measures, the philosophy of containment and abatement steps such as pressure relieving devices, flares, physical dikes and Emergency Response Services is employed.

High Integrity Pressure Protection Systems (HIPPS) are related to the third layer of protection whereby process shutdown can be initiated by shutdown valves that receive instructions from a logic solver which in turn are fed by pressure transmitters.

In the oil and gas industry, process facilities are often subjected to erratic fluctuations in wellhead pressure and flow trends. Such process systems in recent years are tended to for overpressure protection with the installation of HIPPS. HIPPS aid in shutting down well heads instead of having to flare sour gas through pressure relieving devices that are subsequently routed to a flare system.

The following article covers key guidelines and requirements for HIPPS from industry experience and, standards.

#### Introduction

With world gas demand increasing steadily over the tl years, High Pressure High Temperature (HPHT) for environments are also increasingly becoming common. Standard design methods involve designing the entire well head to export systems to fully rated conditions (1500#, 900#, etc.) depending on the operating pressures and temperatures. However such methods would unnecessarily increase project costs and affect installation foot print depending on how flammable or toxic is the process fluid,

sometimes to the point of not giving any viable cost benefits.

To attend to such unviable scenarios, the concept of de-rating Non-HPHT equipment in downstream operations with overpressure protection can be employed. For these purposes, HIPPS is treated as a Safety Instrumented System (SIS) that is based on a Safety Integrity Level (SIL). From an SIL perspective, HIPPS follows a minimum of SIL 3 rating where the Average Probability of Failure on demand is of the

order between  $\ge 10^{-4}$  to  $< 10^{-3}$ . It must be noted that HIPPS is an SIS that aids more as risk reduction for prevention measure rather than a risk mitigation measure. The typical architecture of HIPPS is shown in the Figure below.





#### **HIPPS** Operating Philosophy

A typical HIPPS architecture consists of three (3) pressure transmitters (PT) that constantly record the line pressure which are fed to a logic solver. In the event of an overpressure, the logic solver initiates a shutdown operation of two (2) consecutive Fail-close (FC) valves which are installed on the same line thereby shutting down fluid flow. A pressure alarm (PA) serves the purpose of informing the operation personnel. The purpose of installing the said number of transmitters and valves are as follows,

 To avoid compromising the HIPPS functionality due to failure of any one shutdown valve (SDV), a second valve is added to provide higher redundancy. Both valves are operated on a loo2 voting philosophy that decides which Fail -Close (FC) valve closes.



#### BROADWIND ENERGY. SOLUTIONS FOR THE ENERGY NEEDS OF THE FUTURE.

Broadwind Energy is a precision manufacturer of structures, equipment and components for clean tech and other specialized applications. Our technical expertise, manufacturing footprint and collaborative processes produce high quality fabrications and welded assemblies for strategic partners within the North American market.





300 Wall Street Abilene, TX 79603 General: 325.437.5950 Sales: 325.201.7067 info@bwen.com www.bwen.com



INDUSTRIAL FABRICATIONS | TOWER MANUFACTURING | GEARING

To avoid receiving a premature or false signal from the pressure transmitter, a 2003 voting philosophy is employed as against a loo3 voting philosophy. This means that unless 2 pressure transmitters concur that there is an overpressure scenario, HIPPS is not activated.

#### **HIPPS Valve Selection**

solenoid methods. The two (2) types of valves used tween different operators, contractors and suppliare either ball type or butterfly type. Ball valves pro- ers thereby resulting in lack of commonly accepted vide the best shutoff conditions and can range from industry specifications. The IEC 61508, for example 2 inch to 56 inch depending on the manufacturer. focuses much on the functioning of the logic solver Whereas butterfly valves can be provided from 2 and touching minimally on the final control eleinch to 100 inch, again depending on the manufac- ment. These gaps left in IEC 61508 regarding final turer. For HPHT applications, the piping class can control elements such as valves and solenoids are vary from as high as 2500# which can be provided covered in IEC 61511. in the ball class range with material ranging from IEC provides SIL ratings with Probability of Failure carbon steel, stainless steel, duplex as well as special alloys. The typical stroke time for HIPPS valves for individual components, but for the system as a should be of the order of <2 sec. Valve selection whole which must include the actuators, initiators, must also consider that HPHT applications can wit- final control elements and logic solvers. When difness temperatures as high as 500°C. HIPPS valves must also be able to cater to Partial Stroke Testing capability (PST), Tight Shut-off (TSO) (e.g., Class V or Class VI of ANSI FCI 70-2), Fast acting, Fire Safety tested to for example, API 607. Environmental constraints must also be met for fugitive emissions such as ISO 15848-1 standards.

#### **HIPPS Engineering Standards**

HIPPS can cater to many applications such as offshore/onshore well heads, flare headers and chemical process industries. ASME Section VIII, UG-140 (Overpressure Protection Systems) provides a range of applications for which HIPPS can be used, such as,

- vent areas
- 2. Runaway Polymerization, Exothermic or Reactive reactions that produce large vapour rates rendering relief devices insufficient to cater to over-pressurization scenarios.

To keep the article brief, the focus is made on Oil and Gas applications. HIPPS for the Oil and Gas industry are based on two aspects - prescriptive and performance based. Standards such as API, ASME, ANSI to suggest a few are for design, manufacture and implementation and examples are API 14C pressure relieving devices that are routed to an in-(Recommended Practice for Analysis, Design, Installation, and Testing of Basic Surface Safety Systems

for Offshore Production Platforms), API 6A (Specification for Wellhead and Christmas Tree Equipment) for offshore applications, API 520/521, API 17O (Subsea High Integrity Pressure Protection Systems - HIPPS) to name a few. The other aspect is the IEC standards, chiefly IEC 61508 supplemented by IEC 61511 which are more of performance based standards that describe how to arrive at a solution rather than prescribing a solu-HIPPS Valves can be operated hydraulically or by tion. This would leave room for elucidation be-

> on Demand (PFD) and respective architecture not ferent manufacturers assume certain architecture for HIPPS components provided, the individual components Probability of Failure on Demand (PFD) would not necessarily represent the overall system's PFD which is used to define the SIL rating. Therefore the PFD for a SIL assessment needs to always be investigated on a case to case basis prior to understanding the limitation on the SIL rating arrived at.

#### **Pressure Relieving Devices vs. HIPPS**

A point of contention arises when one asks, if when a piece of equipment is equipped with multiple relieving devices to deal with overpressure scenarios, wherefrom arises the necessity to install a HIPPS. I. High Propagation Chemical reactions resulting To suggest so, means a justification is required to in loss of containment prior to the relief device install HIPPS. For any successful implementation of opening or processes that yield impractical large HIPPS, an examination of applicable regulations, standards, local codes and insurer's requirements that may mandate the need for relieving devices is required. This is to be followed up by a Hazard Analysis (HAZAN) by a multi-disciplinary team. The process risk needs to be evaluated based on frequency and consequence such that the HIPPS proposed can demonstrate that the mitigated risk is lower than the risk tolerance criteria, to allow for the removal of associated relief devices from flare load calculations.

> Traditionally, pressure vessels are equipped with dustrial flare. However when the flare load capacity is insufficient to deal with excess capacities, HIPPS



# Partners to the Top

Summit Technology Management is a technical consultancy group, providing specialized services and training to improve process plant operational efficiency, profitability and safety. We provide engineering solutions by offering training, technical services, best practices, and equipment to meet the specific needs of our partner clients.

- Basic Design Packages
- Detailed Design Packages
- Commissioning of Process Units
- Process Engineering Studies
- Bench Marking of Process Units
- Regional Training Conferences & In -House Training
- Singapore & Malaysia Company Registration & Set Up
- Specialty Equipment: Distillation Equipment, Filter Skid Packages, Compressor Knockout/Scrubber Skid Packages, Mercury Removal Skid Packages



www.summit-tech-mtg.com

The requirements of a relief device covered by UG -125 to UG-138 are to be designed as per API 521. For cases where the requirement of a relief device can be overcome is based on UG-140(a) and UG-140 (b) of the said code which pertains to Inherently Safe Design and HIPPS based design under specific cases respectively. Industrial use of HIPPS certainly provides the option of installing a smaller sized relieving device but cannot eliminate the necessity of relieving devices, although in certain specific cases, the need for PRV's can be eliminated.

As per API 521 and Code Case 2211 of ASME Section VIII, Division I and 2, HIPPS is allowed in lieu of a Pressure relieving device provided HIPPS meets or exceeds the protection that would have been provided by the PRV. However as per, ASME Section VIII, Division I, para UG-125(a) Section VIII, Division 2, para, AR-100, it is required to install a pressure relieving device on all pressure vessels.

Therefore the question of whether a PRV is necessary in tandem with HIPPS depends on identifying credible overpressure scenarios in the operating system prior to installing relieving devices. HIPPS typically can be found in applications where hazardous gases are part of critical operations. Any addition of a relieving device acts more like insurance to the safety of the process.

#### **HIPPS Procurement Life Cycle**

HIPPS system which consists of various components such as logic solvers, actuators, valves, pressure transmitters can be supplied by various manufacturers. A Request for Quotation (RFQ) is placed with different manufacturers by the procurement division of the EPC contractor which in turn is provided to the engineering teams such as process, piping, Instrumentation and Safety departments.



Figure 2. HIPPS Procurement and Certification Life Cycle

The EPC procurement team has to perform an additional task of project management to ensure all associated items in the bill of quantities (BOQ) are received and handed over to the engineering team. The integrated HIPPS components would then require a SIL certification by an independent certifying body for SIL 3 requirements before being implemented at the End User's facility.

The disadvantage of employing multiple suppliers causes increased lead time as well as procurement costs. An alternative would be to source HIPPS from a single manufacturer who can provide all individual components and have it certified by an independent SIL certifying body. This reduces the lead time required for procurement as well as costs associated.

#### References

- Introduction to Process Unit Flares', Kolmetz. K, KLM Technology Group
- 'Application of UG-140 for Overpressure Protection', Sushant G Labhasetwar, Chemical Engineering World, September 2013
- 3. 'Consideration in Designing HIPPS', Willem- Jan Nuis, Rens Wolters, www.safan.com
- 'High Integrity Protection System (HIPS) for Flare Load Mitigation', Angela E. Summers, SIS-TECH Solutions, LP

#### About the Author



Vijay Sarathy holds a Master's Degree in Chemical Engineering from Birla Institute of Technology & Science (BITS), Pilani, India and is a Chartered Engineer from the Institution of Chemical Engineers, UK. His expertise over 10 years of professional experience covers Front End Engineering, Process Dynamic Simulation and Subsea/Onshore pipeline flow assurance in the Oil and Gas industry. Vijay has worked as an Upstream Process Engineer with major conglomerates of General Electric, ENI Saipem and Shell.



HEAT TRANSFER TECHNOLOGY

**Codes & Standards** 

Storage Tank

Piping System

Condenser

LP Heater HP Heater

Deaerator

ACHE

STHE

Pressure Vessel : ASME Sec VIII Div 1&2, PD

: TEMA, API

: API 650,

: ASME S

: API 661, ASME

I, ASME B31.3

: HEI (Heat Exchanger Institute)

550

III Div 1&2

31.4, B31.8

#### **PT. WARU TEKNIKATAMA**

Waru Teknikatama designs and fabricates head exchangers, pressure vessels and other equipment to accomplish highest degree of client satisfaction.

Air Cooled Heat Exchangers | Shell & Tube Heat Exchangers |
 Pressure Vessels | Plate Heat Exchangers | Other Equipment

 Heat transfer related calculation, design and development & troubleshooting | Detail Design Packages : Mechanical, Civil,
 Electrical Engineering design of plant | After Sales Services by providing spare parts and special tools for heat exchangers

> Certifications ASME U, U2, S The National Board of Boiler and Pressure Vessel Inspectors (NB & R) ISO 9001 : 2008 HTRI Member



#### Head Office

Unimas Garden Regency Jl. Imermotors F-18 Waru, Sidoarjo 61256 – Indonesia Phone : +62 (0) 31 853 3643, 853 3591, 854 9184 Fax : +62 (0) 31 853 3591 www.waruteknikatama.com waru@waruteknikatama

Workshop

Desa Domas. Km 11, Trowulan, Mojokerto, East Java, Indonesia



Cert. No. JKT 6006851

ASME - S AND U Stamp

# Getting it Right with Hydrostatic and Pneumatic Pressure Tests

Wiroon T.

Pressure test has been one of the most confusing 6. and misunderstood issues for many engineers. 7. Most engineers think they already know how to 8. conduct a pressure test correctly while they actually do not. Follow the guidelines in this article to conduct pressure tests safely and correctly. Neglecting the guidelines may result in property Th damage and even injury or death of relevant personnel.

#### Foreword

Pressure test is a mandatory activity to test strength and leaks of any vessel or piping system after fabrication or modification. Pressure test medium can be either water or safe liquid (hydrostatic test/ hydrotest) or using air or safe gas (pneumatic test). The test medium pressure is raised to a certain set pressure and held for a certain period of time to ensure that the test equipment pressure can withstand the design pressure with sufficient safety margin without visual leaks.

The author's project experience suggests that this pressure test. seemingly simple test has been done incorrectly and unsafely because engineers tend to blindly fol- • low plant's inherited practice or other senior engineers' practice or just do what plant's mechanical engineers tell them to do. The author suggests the • readers to correctly follow pressure test guidelines in ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel (BPV) codes, ASME piping codes, and API standards that are • applicable to your specific plant and equipment whenever doing the pressure test. This article is focused on refinery and petrochemical plants which mainly refer to ASME BPV Code Sec. VIII Div.I [1] and ASME B31.3 Chemical Plant and Petroleum Refinery Piping [2]. Major issues with pressure tests that the author often found are:

- I. Pressure test confusion with leak test
- 2. Unnecessary use of dangerous pneumatic test instead of safe hydrostatic test
- 3. Incorrectly set test pressure with different type of system or different version of ASME code used
- 4. Failure to account for liquid static head in test pressure gauge reading
- 5. Failure to account for the difference in allowa- ble stresses atoperating temperature and pressure test temperature when setting the test pressure

- 6. Incorrect pressure test procedure
- 7. Inappropriate testing medium used
- 8. Incorrect application of pressure test concept to shell and tube heat exchanger design pressure setting.

The article will cover the above issues and guide readers to conduct pressure tests safely and correctly. A good reading on this topic is in [3].

#### Why Are Pressure Tests Required?

Every type of equipment subject to 15 psig or more internal pressure is considered to be a "pressure vessel" according to ASME Code VIII Div.1. Before putting any pressure vessel or piping in a specified operating pressure, it must have passed a pressure test by methods specified by the ASME Code.

First, there are some terminologies that the readers need to understand before discussing about pressure test.

- Normal operating pressure (OP) pressure that equipment is normally operated or controlled at.
- Max operating pressure (MOP) max pressure that equipment can be operated under normal operation.
- Design Pressure (DP) pressure with a certain safety margin above design pressure. Design pressure means pressure at the top of equipment. If that equipment has a sizable height, it should be designed to withstand static head imposed by max liquid level inside the vessel as well as pressure imposed by solid bed such as catalysts.
- Max Allowable Working Pressure (MAWP) pressure that equipment can withstand with the actual thickness of fabrication. This is because a commercially available standard steel plate thickness may be larger than that required by mechanical design calculation at a design pressure, thus allowing the vessel to withstand higher pressure than the design pressure.
- The relation is that: MAWP > DP > MOP > OP (Figure 1).



#### Process Engineering Associates, LLC Process Engineering International, LLC

We provide engineering services exclusively via highly qualified chemical engineers and we specialize only in process engineering. Our industrial process design and plant operations experience are extensive. Our companies provide only Chemical Engineering process design and process safety services and currently employ over 50 highly qualified, fulltime chemical engineers.

Our services are provided to most industrial process markets including



chemical / petro-chemicals, petroleum refining, alternative fuels, power generation, metals/mineral processing industries, foods, pharmaceuticals, and more.

PROCESS' personnel are experienced, highly -motivated Chemical Engineers with track records of significant achievement in the process engineering field.

**PROCESS** has experience in providing exceptional process design services for the development of conceptual and detail process design, process modeling and simulation, and operations support. Typical services include process option screening studies/evaluations, preliminary process designs (FEL & FEED), comprehensive Schedule A process design packages, detail engineering process support, process construction oversight, startup assistance, and more.



## Overview of Servicer

We specialize in process chemical engineering, process design, and process safety.

- Process Design
- Process Modeling/Simulation (CHEMCAD / AspenPlus / HYSYS / etc.)
- Operations Support
- Process Safety Management
- Safety Instrumented Systems / ISA Compliance
- Additional Capabilities

Please visit our websites at http://www.ProcessEngr.com and http://www.ProcessEngr-Intl.com.

#### Corporate Office

700 South Illinois Ave. Suite A-202 Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Phone: +1 (865) 220-8722



Figure I: Concept of Pressure Levels

The concept of pressure test is to put the test equipment under pressure that are higher than max operating pressure in order to confirm mechanical integrity of the equipment over the entire run until the next inspection and maintenance.

The objective of pressure test is to ensure mechani- pressure to visually inspect for leaks and structural cal integrity after all hot works have been done on deformation. the equipment. The hot works include everything related to welding or post-weld heat treatment Hydrostatic Tests vs. Pneumatic Tests (PWHT). In case some modifications requiring hot A vessel foundation may not have been designed works need to be made, it calls for a retest per for a water load or the process does not tallow code.

Two major types of pressure tests are:

- pressible fluid such as water or safe liquid
- gases

Pressure tests should not be a substitute for non- drotests are: destructive testing (NDT) such as radiographic tests (X-ray), ultrasonic tests, magnetic particle tests, and liquid penetrant tests. NDT should be completed before the pressure test. For old equipment that has been in service for a certain period, it is recom- 2) mended to conduct a wall thickness inspection and thorough check of cracks especially in high stress areas before the pressure test. A good practice is to visually inspect for leaks and structural deformation as a part of the pressure test. The pressure test can be done either at the fabrication shop (e.g. vessels) or at construction site (e.g. piping).

#### **Pressure Test vs. Leak Test**

Pressure test is often confused with "leak test" or "tightness test". ASME Code VIII Div.I defines hydrotests and pneumatic tests as "pressure tests", 3) The time required for removal of all traces of whereas ASME B31.3 defines them as "leak tests".

Another possible definition of the leak test is a 4) The cost of water disposal is too high due to tightness test to confirm that all flanges,

instruments, and piping connections have been connected tightly before plant commissioning. It is not to check the quality of hot works. Tightness tests are normally done at the plant site. Nitrogen gas should be used for flammable fluid systems such as hydrocarbons. For non-flammable fluid systems, filtered and oil-free air can be used. The tightness test pressure is generally limited to utility supply pressure (7-10 barg) except in special cases where mobile liquid nitrogen unit is brought in to test leaks of a specific system at close to system design pressure. The tightness test pressure will be held for a certain period of time to ensure system pressure could be maintained in an acceptable range.

ASME Code VIII Div. I also refers to the leak test as a test to visually inspect for leaks after the pressure test. After a piece of equipment is held at a test pressure for a specified holding time, then the test equipment pressure will be reduced to design

contamination with water. Project management's argument is that the vessel was designed for a gas pressure so a pneumatic test, to verify the sound-Hydrostatic test- a pressure test using incom- ness of the modification, should not be a concern.

Pneumatic test- a pressure test using compress- However, from safety point of view, all vessels and ible fluid such as air or inert gas or other safe piping systems should be fully hydrotested rather than pneumatic-tested. Examples of exceptions when pneumatic tests may be preferred over hy-

- 1) Possibility of damage due to freezing (e.g. cryogenic system operating at subfreezing temperature) or too cold test water temperature
  - Possibility of the operating fluid or piping material adversely affected by water.

(a) Hydrostatic test would damage linings (e.g. refractory) or internal insulation or electrical system.

(b) Hydrostatic test would contaminate a process, causing hazards, corrosion, or inoperability in the presence of moisture.

(c) Test equipment is not designed for full water condition and it is difficult to support the weight of water with additional temporary supports.

water prior to placing the system into operation could impede the startup process

water contamination.



# Andern Steam Cracking Furnace Kinetics Model Overall Furnace Heat and Material Balance

PYCOSSW calculates the product yields for each feedstock, based on its conversion and selectivity. The selectivity is affected by operating conditions, coil geometry, steam-to-oil ratio and the coil out et pressure. PYCOSSW calculates: pressure drop and average residence time inside the radiant coil; process heat required in the radiant zone; radiant coil heat transfer coefficient; coking rate; maximum tube wall temperature. PYCOSSW simulates the process along the entire radiant coil and calculates the fuel consumption by modelling the Firebox side. The coupled simulation of process and combustion sides allow calculating the process and metal temperatures along the entire length of the radiant coil and flue gas temperature at Bridge. PYCOSSW uses a proprietary routine to calculate the flame temperature. The program calculates the flue gas flow and enthalpy through the radiant and convection zones.

Dr. Maurizio Spoto Is Committed To Providing You With The Highest Quality Support For PYCOS.

Tel. : +39 02 9231808 Mobile : +39 335 271779 E-mail : maurizio.spoto@pycos.it

Piazza Matteotti, 11, 20063 Cernusco Sul Naviglio-Italia

In case pneumatic testing cannot be avoided, it should require approval by the system owner as well as rigorous safety and risk evaluations. Pneumatic testing shall be performed using compressed air (filtered and oil-free) or non-flammable gas. For tracer tubing, nitrogen and instrument air lines, their own fluids may be used instead of the air or gas. The gas temperature in pneumatic testing must be confirmed not to cause brittle fracture on the equipment at a too low test temperature.

personnel resulting from a failure during a pressure + static head pressure if the pressure gauge is lotest is far more serious when using a gaseous test cated below the top of the test equipment (for medium. An explosion is a sudden release of energy, water, 10 m static head = 1 bar). causing a destructive pressure wave. If equipment under test pressure cracks and bursts, the burst Temperature Correction for Test Pressure energy as a result of this will be much higher when When an equipment max operating temperature is test fluids are compressible. Burst energy is general- much higher than the test temperature, the test ly defined in terms of TNT equivalent (4.52 MJ/kg). pressure should be corrected to a higher value to At high pressure, the stored energy of compressible account for lower allowable stress value at higher fluids such as air and gas used in pneumatic testing is temperature. Temperature correction is by far the generally a few hundred times higher in magnitude most overlooked factor in pressure test. than that of incompressible fluids such as water used in hydrotesting. Burst energy of a gas-filled vessel Pressure test is normally done at ambient tempercan be estimated by Brode's method in Eq. (1):

$$E = (p_{b} - p_{a})V_{G} / (k-1) = (TNT)^{*}(4.52^{'}10^{6})$$
(1)

the volume of gas in the vessel (m<sup>3</sup>),  $p_{\rm b}$  is the burst pressure of the vessel (bara),  $p_a$  is the pressure of surrounding air (bara), k is the ratio of specific heats (-), TNT = TNT equivalent in kg. 3 other methods to estimate burst energy are available in the literature [4].

#### **Test Pressure**

The hydrotest pressure should be set above design allowable stress at test pressure, S = pressure. The correct hydrotest pressure setting stress at max operating temperature, K = yield has been an argument for engineers and vendors strength safety factor (1.5 or 1.3) because there was a change in test pressure setting practice by ASME. If that equipment was designed using ASME Code VIII Div. I before 2001, then the A pressure vessel made from A285 Gr. B was dehydrotest pressure should be 1.5 times of design pressure. However, if that equipment was designed using the later or current ASME Code VIII Div. I, the test pressure should be only 1.3 times of design pressure. The factors 1.5 and 1.3 are the yield strength safety factors specified by ASME. Thus, we need to check whether that equipment was designed based on which version of ASME code to confirm the correct yield strength safety factor.

Test pressure for hydrostatic test = 1.3 x design pressure (ASME BPV Code from 2001-Present)

= 1.5 x design pressure (ASME BPV Code before 2001)

= 1.5 x design pressure (ASME B31.3)

Test pressure for pneumatic test = 1.1 x design pressure (ASME BPV code)

= 1.1 x design pressure (ASME B31.3)

#### Test Pressure Gauge Reading vs. Test Pressure

The potential damage to surrounding equipment and Pressure gauge reading is the sum of test pressure

ature (30-35 DEG C) where steels will have higher strength and thus higher allowable stress. To compensate for this drop of allowable stress at higher operating temperature, we need to increase the Where E is the maximum energy release (J),  $V_G$  is test pressure to a higher value according to Eq. (2).

$$P_t = K P_d$$
 (2)

Where  $P_t$  = test pressure,  $P_d$  = design pressure,  $S_t$  = allowable

#### Example

signed using ASME Code before 2001 at design pressure = 10 barg and max operating temp = 350DEG C. This steel has an allowable stress = 13,100psi at 350 DEG C and 14,300 psi at the test temperature (30 DEG C). What should be the test pressure?

$$P_t = 1.5 \times 10 \times \frac{14300}{13100}$$
  
= 16.4 barg.

# EMPOWERING PROCESS SIMULATION



I Improve the past. Optimize the present. Design the future.

#### VISIT

virtualmaterials.com/GetVMGSim to find out more.

As a process engineer you need innovative tools to see your vision come to life.

VMGSim<sup>™</sup> is a comprehensive steady state and dynamic process simulator that provides flexibility and control to help design new processes and improve your existing plant's efficiency and bottom line.

Our PIONA molecular structure based oil characterization system allows high fidelity modelling of light to heavy hydrocarbons from the gathering system to refinery products and distribution.



#### **Medium for Pressure Tests**

- Do not use water colder than 4 DEG C as a test medium because it can cause brittleness • especially for equipment with thick walls. Add anti-freeze to the water if freezing is a concern • in cold weather.
- Do not use water with chloride content > 50 Hydrotest Procedure: wppm as a test medium for austenitic stainless A general procedure is as follows: steels (e.g. Type 304, 316, 317). These stainless 1. steels will be cracked under tensile stress in contact with chloride, which is called "Stress 2. Corrosion Cracking (SCC)". Use demineralized water or boiler feed water because of negligible 3. or low chloride content.

#### **Procedure for Pressure Tests**

The procedure should include preparation step and testing step. Common preparation steps for both hydrotests and pneumatic tests are:

- Isolate the test equipment from other systems • with a spade or a blind.
- Make sure all required piping stress relief, weld • examinations, and welding documentation have been completed and acceptable.
- Install a calibrated test pressure gauge • (preferably 2 gauges to compare the reading at a safe distance from the system being tested).
- Install sized and calibrated relief valves •
- Block off the area and avoid irrelevant person- 5. nel's access to the test area
- Remove or block off all instruments and safety • valves so that they will not be exposed to the test pressure.
- Always check all test equipment and accessories • (e.g. test pump, flexible hose, valves) and that all test connections are tight.
- Check the following:

 $\Rightarrow$ Completed and torqued flanges with no missing bolts or gaskets

- $\Rightarrow$ All gravity supports installed
- $\Rightarrow$ Proper pipe routing
- $\Rightarrow$ Correct value type and orientation (e.g. check valves)

 $\Rightarrow$ All values in the test boundary are in open position.

- $\Rightarrow$ Tagging and lockout of any valves used to isolate the test boundaries is in place to protect both the testing personnel and any other who may be on site
- $\Rightarrow$ All joints, including welds and flanges, of the portions of the system to be tested are left uninsulated and exposed for inspection

#### Hydrotest Additional Preparation:

Make sure that the equipment, foundation, and supports have been designed for full-liquid condition

- Install a high-point vent and a low-point drain to allow proper filling and draining
- Provide damage protection for all spring supports and expansion joints
- Eliminate trapped air from the test equipment

- Fill the test equipment with water from bottom.
- Raise the pressure slowly with a test pump until reaching the test pressure.
  - Hold the pressure at the specified test pressure according to the code used. ASME pressure vessel code specifies a minimum of 30 minutes. ASME B31.3 specifies a minimum of 10 minutes. In any case, the holding time should not be too long because overpressure can result from thermal expansion of a fullyfilled liquid vessel.
- Slowly reduce the test equipment pressure to 4. design pressure to start the visual inspection for leaks or structural deformation. The test personnel should stay far enough from the test equipment at the test pressure, and be allowed to access the equipment to inspect for leaks only after the test equipment pressure has been reduced to the design pressure.
  - After completion, open the top vent before draining all liquid from bottom before disconnecting.



Figure 2: Hydrotest Procedure

Pneumatic Test Additional Preparation:

- Install a high-point vent with a pressure gauge to allow depressurization.
- If it is considered unacceptable for personnel to • be close to the test equipment, prepare a sonic detector to identify leak at a safe distance from the test equipment
- If verification of a leak rate is required, prepare • flow meters or totalizing meters to monitor the test. These may be placed between the pressure source and the piping system.



SEPTEMBER 25 - 27, 2018 Telus Convention Centre | Calgary, Canada

### The World's Pipeline Industry Meets Here.

www.internationalpipelineexposition.com

For exhibit and sponsorship opportunities, Contact Stephen Furze, Sales Manager, (403) 444—6529, stephenfurze@dmgevents.com

#### Pneumatic Test Procedure:

A general procedure is as follows:

- ments.
- sure
- hold the pressure for a given period
- inspect for leaks again with a leak identifier.
- 5) After completion, open the top vent slowly to that they are equally or more stringent than comdepressurize the system before disconnecting.

#### Shell and Tube Heat Exchanger Design **Pressure Setting**

Many engineers do not realize that hydrotest pressure setting is related to design pressure setting of shell and tube heat exchangers. The concept is that the shell side hydrotest pressure should be equal to or greater than the tube side design pressure. If the shell side was tested at 1.5 times of design pressure, then "two-thirds (2/3)" rule would apply to call for a shell side design pressure of at least "two-thirds" of the tube side design pressure to ensure the shell has been hydrotested to withstand overpressure by tube rupture (up to tube side design pressure). However, if the shell side was tested at 1.3 times of design pressure, then 10/13 rule would apply instead. If shell side design pressure is below that required by two-thirds or 10/13 rules, then a pressure relief valve is required on the shell side to protect the heat exchanger shell from overpressure by tube rupture.

#### **Common Issues of Pressure Tests**

- Make sure equipment and piping flanges are rated for the test pressure to be used. Check the flange rating by ASME B16.5 or B16.47. If the flange rating cannot withstand the test pressure at the test temperature, it is a safe practice to consider using one step higher rating for the flanges that will be blocked to hold pressure during the pressure test. The recommended practice is to use standard blind flanges as per ASME BI6.5 or BI6.47.
- Make sure that test blind and spade dimensions • are correct and thick enough to withstand the test pressure.
- Make sure the drainage rate does not exceed allowable drainage system capacity.
- Open all vents before draining the test medium to avoid vacuum condition.
- Relieve pressure in temporary piping and

instrument connections and downstream of check world. valves before disconnecting.

#### **Closing Thoughts**

Conducting pressure tests safely and correctly will 1) Raise pressure slowly until pressure is around help prevent damage to life and properties. Not on-170 kPag (25 psig) and inspect for leaks with a ly the test personnel and those surrounding the test leak identifier (e.g. soap solution). If leakage is equipment will be safe but also the test equipment found, vent the system before repairs or adjust- itself will be protected from unforeseen damage. Engineers should always confirm that they perform 2) Raise pressure slowly until half of the test pres- safety critical activities (e.g. pressure tests) according to safe and correct engineering practices based 3) Raise pressure with a step of 10% of test pres- on acceptable engineering codes and standards such sure until reaching the test pressure, and then as ASME and API standards (or acceptable local regulations). They should not blindly believe that exist-4) Reduce pressure down to design pressure and ing plant practices are correct. If company's or inhouse engineering standards are used, make sure mon engineering standards.

#### References

ASME Boiler Pressure Vessel Code Sec. VIII Div I (2010)

ASME/ANSI B31.3; Chemical Plant and Petroleum Refinery Piping (2006)

Piping Handbook, Mohinder L. Nayyar, 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, McGraw-Hill, Chapter B14 (2000)

Understanding Explosions, Appendix B, Daniel A. Crowl, AIChE (2003)

#### **Biography**

Mr. Wiroon is an outstanding chemical engineer specialized in process design/development, process technology scale-up, basic & detailed process engineering, and energy improvement. His areas of specializations are petrochemical ethylene plants and refining processes. He has had several publications including 3 international papers in Chemical Engineering Science journal and 4 industrial articles published in AIChE Chemical Engineering Progress (CEP), ChE, and Hydrocarbon Processing magazines. He also has a technology patent for alkenes (Bromine Index) removal process. He also has vast knowledge on basic and detailed engineering aspects due to his familiarity with design standards (e.g. ASME, API, ASTM, NFPA) and design practices of major global oil companies. He was elected by SCG Chemicals to get a one-year intensive technology training at GTC Technology US LLC in Houston, Texas, USA. He was invited to be a special lecturer for a Process Plant Design Course (senior year) at Naresuan University and for a Chemical Engineering Scale-Up Course at Chulalongkorn University 2013. He was I out of only 5 scholarship winners of a highly competitive Japanese Government scholarship nationwide, where he spent 7 years in Japan for Bachelor and Master degrees in Chemical Engineering. Mr. Wiroon founded "ChemEngEdu" (Chemical & Engineering Education) (2015) which is intended to be a hub of knowledge for engineers around the Quarterly Safety Connector

#### For Engineers; Because Safety Is Part Of The Process!

By: Chris Palmisano, MESH, IFSAC

**April 2018** 



# Are you really working for a safe workplace or, have you just been lucky?

You've probably received safety training for years, never really thinking that it could happen here. Then, a coworker is seriously injured and all the sudden, employees are immersed into reality. As a Safety Professional, my reality is that it can happen anywhere, at any time, to anyone.

Accidents affect all of us. Witnessing an injured employee's physical pain, emotional stress from an injury, loss of income and general sadness of their family, can sometimes be overwhelming. You may say to yourself, after a serious accident, "our attitude has to change". You make a good point. It's unfortunate that it takes a sad event for employees to suddenly be willing to accept suggestions that weeks ago, went in one ear and out the other.

Why does this happen? Plain and simple, it's "ATTITUDE". When something is out of site, it's out of mind. A positive attitude toward safety pays off in countless ways. Everyday your operation goes without an injury is a win. Your good attitude towards safety should be grounded by your organization's success, not as a result of failure. Today is the best time to get started and develop a good attitude toward safety, not after an accident.

A good attitude is a habit that can be learned! There are three basic elements to a safe workplace. They are **Atti-**tude, **Awareness & Action**, simple concepts that are worth thinking about. If you choose to ignore these three basic elements of safety you are just rolling dice.

**ATTITUDE** - requires focus on the tasks and the controls needed to promote safety. You must take the time needed to plan on how to do a job safely, with willingness to do what's right. Others may want you to take short cuts or cut corners but a good attitude means you have the intestine fortitude to do the right thing.

Accountability is also important. It means you care about safety and you believe in the cause and you do the hard work. Being accountable is safety means that you must always think of yourself as part of a team, better yet, a family. After all, we are a family. We spend more time with coworkers in our lifetime than we spend with our own families.

**AWARENESS:** Louise Pasture said, *"Chance will only favor the prepared mind"*. Awareness is the ability to directly know, perceive, or be cognizant of possible outcomes through knowledge. More broadly, it is the state of being conscious of something through learning or studying. Therefore, Awareness is best achieved through education. The most successful safety professionals I've ever met in my career were those that were well educated in safety and regulatory compliance. We can only apply what we know to avoid unpleasant outcomes. The less we know the less effective we are.

Aside from the classroom, a great way to spread your awareness is face-to-face, through training, during frequent and regular inspections and task observation in the workplace. Talk to employees, don't be the safety police. A Safety Professional must be a trusted advisor in the workplace, not a finger pointer.

**ACTION:** Finally, action is the process of doing something to achieve an aim. Simply said, safety takes stretch and hard work to be effective. Measuring effectiveness in safety is unique from other jobs. Our greatest days as Safety Professionals are based on a "naught outcome", when everyone goes home in one piece. In my opinion, "zero accidents" is the greatest reward a safety professional can have.

Chris is a Professional Risk Management Consultant, a former Philadelphia Fire Department Lieutenant and former OSHA Compliance Officer. He is the creator of the InSite GHS Hazcom Workplace Labeling System for Secondary Chemical Containers. For questions about this article or his workplace chemical labeling system to meet the OSHA's GHS June 2016 requirement, you can reach Chris at: ChrisAPal@aol.com or at LinkedIn https://www.linkedin.com/ in/chris-palmisano-696b3b6/

## Advertisers

- 4 IACPE
- 5 Stop-Painting.com
- 7 BPT
- 9 Universiti Malaysia Pahang
- 11 PT. Dinamika Teknik Persada
- 12 KLM Technology Group
- I4 Broadwind Energy
- 16 Summit Technology Management
- 18 PT. Waru Teknikatama
- 20 Process Engineering Associates LLC
- 22 PYCOS
- 24 VMG
- 26 International Pipeline Expo
- 29 IACPE



